## ITEM NO. 05 (B-34)

<u>Name of Subject</u>: Award of work of Replacement of old 11 KV H.T.Feeders and inter-connector cables in Maint. South Division Area.

**<u>Name of Deptt:</u>** Electricity Department.

#### Brief History of the Case:

Estimate No.02/EE (E) C-VI/2005-06 amounting to Rs.2,58,55,147/- (G) & Rs.2,56,00,457/- (N) was sanctioned by council vide Reso. No. 13(B-5) dt.19/04/2006 for work of Replacement of 30 Nos., old 11 KV H.T. Feeders and inter-connector cables in Maint. South Division Area. This estimate was prepared on the recommendations of expert committee under the Chairmanship of Sh. Y.P.Singh to improve the power supply system of NDMC area.

### Detailed proposal on the Subject:

Sealed tenders were invited accordingly for the subject work for replacement of cables off sizes 3x300sq.mm-16.25Km and 3x150sq.mm.-7Km by giving vide publicity through press and NDMC website. NIT was duly approved by E-in-C after examination by Planning and A.O. (E-I). Tenders were invited from the cable manufacturers or their authorised representatives in three-cover system. Offers of the three firms namely M/S KEI Industries Ltd., M/S Ravin Cables Ltd., M/S NICCO Corporation Ltd. were received on due date and time.

After opening the cover containing techno-commercial offer of participating firms and scrutiny it was found that offers of all the three firms meet the conditions of NIT. So price bids of all the three firms were opened on 12/07/07. M/S Ravin Cables Ltd. were found to be the lowest firm and department recommended to award the work to M/S Ravin Cables Ltd. at their lowest quoted price of Rs. 3,00,83,026/-, which are 17.61% below our justified rates amounting to Rs.3,65,16,009/-.

Financial Implications : Rs. 3,00,83,026/-.

**ImplementationSchedule :** Nine months from the date of Award of Work.

### Comments of Finance on the subject :

Finance has seen the case vide their Dy. No. 2746/Fin/R.Elect. Dt.16/11/07 and observed that prequalification/eligibility criteria are required to be properly defined/notified in the NITs. Once the same is notified and tenders are invited, the firms are short listed according to this criteria. No deviation from notified criteria can be made during evaluation as per guidelines issued by CVC.

Department has not disputed in its reply that M/S Ravin Cables Ltd. are not satisfying eligibility criteria. Considering the offer of M/S Ravin Cables Ltd. would certainly be a deviation from notified criteria.

Finance has not further comments to offer. Case may be submitted to higher authorities for taking a decision on the matter in view of the urgency shown by the department.

## Comments of the Deptt. on the comments of Finance:

As per tender documents, offers were invited only from manufacturers/their authorized contractors.

In the instant case, the L-1 viz M/S Ravin Cables Ltd. is a manufacturing firm who had intimated at the time of tender offers "that we would also like to inform you that M/S D.K. traders is our joint venture partner for the subject tender. They shall be undertaking all the installation part of the contract. They are experienced contractor and are having eligibility as per requirement of the tender to undertake the job. Their all the relevant documents are also enclosed herewith for your reference & needful action joint bidding." M/S D.K. Traders are well experienced for doing these types of works. The Finance during discussions has mentioned that the laying experience should also be that of the manufacturer. Observations of Finance essentially relate to this point.

The observations of the Finance in this regard were discussed in detail. It was explained during the discussion that the manufacturers normally do not lay any cable but get laying works done through their associate contractors. Logically, FA agreed with this.

Rejection & recall of tenders is unlikely to result in any better situation.

These works are of urgent nature and are required to be executed on top priority as per recommendations of Sh. Y.P.Singh committee report to improve the continuity of electric supply, so we have recommended for placing the case before the Council to award the work to L-1 firm namely M/s Ravin Cables Ltd.

### Legal Implications: NIL

### Comments of Law Deptt .:

No law point involved. This has concurrence of Law Department.

### Comments of the Deptt. on the comments of Law deptt:.

NIL

### Recommendations:

Recommended that the case may be placed before the council for consideration and decision to award the work of Replacement of old 11 KV H.T. Feeders and inter-connector cables in Maint. South Division Area, to M/S Ravin Cables Ltd. at their lowest quoted rates of Rs. 3,00,83,026/- on the terms and conditions of NIT. Since the validity of the offer is upto 31.12.07, necessary approval may also be accorded to award the work in anticipation of confirmation of the minutes of the Council Meeting.

## Draft Resolution:

The council resolved that the work of Replacement of old 11 KV H.T. Feeders and inter-connector cables in Maint. South Division Area be awarded to M/S Ravin Cables Ltd. at their lowest quoted rates of Rs. 3,00,83,026/- on the terms and conditions of NIT. The work order may be issued in anticipation of confirmation of the minutes of the Council Meeting.

# COUNCIL'S DECISION